G R S IX 5j

*Previous references*

B 16 Preserve Jan 31.3.28

1917.

File No 86-17

( Rel )

*Later references*

Serial Nos 19, 20, 35 41, 58, 88

2

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

*Serial Nos* 19, 20, 35, 41, 58, 88

**Part B for** July 18

SUBJECT

Letter from the President Dodbet Board Shimoga, Submitting the ~~applications~~ petitions receive from the inhabitants of Diggenahally & Huddenahalli and Chikkanahalli protesting against the inclusion of the villages in the area of the Channagiri Municipality

Sl 88 Notification no 26 of 17.18. To the compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication of the exclusion of the three villages referred to above from the Channagiri Municipal area etc

Sankaranarayana
14

W.P. 88—G.P.B.—50,000—24-7-18

G R S III—1] 1917

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mem)

(1)

NOTES

Jul 86-17

L S ~~File~~ File No 116

FILE HEADING

Constitution and classification of

From The President, Dt Board, Shimoga

No H C 372 B Dated 25-8-17 Received 29-8-17.
of 17-18.

Diary No 195 | Enclos 3 Spare Copies | Serial No 84

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that draft proclamations were duly published the Gazette in respect of all the areas to be brought under the Municipal Regn in hes Dt and submits for orders the petitions received from the inhabitants of Byggenahalli, Muddenahalle and Chik Kaunkere protesting against the exclusion of villages in the area of the Chan[illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS

It is not clear from the President's report if the three villages formed part of the Non-Regulation Municipality of Shimoga If they formed part,

the

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 1232—GPB—20,000—18 11 16

Serial No -

the petitioners cannot reasonably object to their inclusion in the Minor Municipality under the Regulation so it must be presumed that they did not form part of so, the President may be requested to report after holding an enquiry at the place whether the petitioners objections to the inclusion on the ground of their inability to pay the taxes and the distance of the villages from the main town) are well founded and if so whether he would suggest their omission from the limits

(Sd) V R

3

2 Draft of a letter is submitted

HK

3 MK 31-8-17

3 8

Revised in pencil only)

4 MK 31/8/17

4/1917 Secy Mr Finance

5 4/9 MK

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 1228—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

G R S III-2]

D 17/6/24

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel. File No 86 of 1917

Serial No 20

To the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dist
1456 dy 5-9-1917,
Requests the Dy Comr to report after holding an enquiry at the place whether the objections of the petrs are well founded & whether he wd suggest the omission of the 3 villages in question from the limits of the proposed Munly

To the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dist.
80 HC 37 (a&b) 1718 dy 20-11-1917
Suggests the omission of the 3 villages in question from the proposed limits of the Chaunagiri Municipality.
6 Draft of a letter informing the Dy Comr that the 3 villages may be excluded from the limits of the proposed Chaunagiri Munly & calling for a draft Notn revising the boundary of the Munly as indd for appl

HK 7 The reasons given by the Dy Comr in his letter for the exclusion of the villages in question are forcible. Draft approving Dy Comr's recommendation and calling for a draft notification put up for approval

MK 6/12/17

2nd Member) 10-12

Note—Contn. sheet

WD 1233—GPB—60 000—1 12-16—S.

D1764

G R S III 2

General and Revenue Secretariat | ML File No 86 of 1917 | 4252

Serial No 41

To the Dy Comr, Shimoga Dt
No 3164 dt 11-12-17

The Rule calls for a draft notn of Channagiri municipality excluding from the ML limits the 3 Villages of Doddegenahalli, Muddenahalli & Chikkahulikere.

8 Draft revised boundary notification not having been received, a draft reminder to the Dy Comr Shimoga Dist is submitted for approval

6/3/18 9 Issue 7/3

Issued No. 5002 dt 8 3 18

10. No reply having been recd. draft of a D.O reminder to the Dy Comr is submitted

25/3/18 11 Issue Issued No 5433 30.3.18.

58 Letter no HC 37(LB)17-18 dt 2-4-18 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga Submits revised boundary notification in English and Kannada as called for in paragraph 2 of Sl 41

12 Draft boundary notification regarding ML limits of Channagiri Town submitted for favour of approval

10/4

11/16/4/18 (Member) 17/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G R S III 2]

5

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No. 86 of 1917

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 88 | Notification no 26 dt. 1.7.18 To the compiler Mysore Gazette for publication of the ~~above exclusion of the Village from the Municipal area of Channagiri~~ Notn Constituting Channagiri into a permanent Municipality with effect from 1.7.18 |

Note—Contin. sheet

WD A 1788—GPB—60 000—20-8 18—S.

are usually treated as their inclusion in the minor municipality under the Regulation. So it must be disagreement that they be excluded from part. If so the President may be requested to report after holding an enquiry at the place whether the petitioners' objections to the inclusion (i.e. their inability to pay the taxes & the distance of the villages from the main town) are well founded and whether if so whether he would suggest their omission from the limits.

[signature]
25/8/17

[initials]

7 AUG 1917

W D. 6244—GPB—6 C

191

From
The President,
District Board, Shimoga,

To
The Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received 29—8—17

General No ... 37 (LB) 17-18
No

Enclosure No 3

---

Submits for orders under section 8 (2) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation petition from the inhabitants of Diggenahalli Muddenahalli and Chillenahalli in Channagiri Taluk taking objection to the inclusion of the villages in the area of the Channagiri Municipality

----

It is not clear from the President's report if the three villages formed part of the non-Regulation municipality of Channagiri. If they formed part, the petitioners cannot

No. M C 37(EB) 17-18

Office of the President,
District and Municipal Boards,
Dated Shimoga, the 25th August 1917.

Inl 86-17-19

From

M.A.Narayan Ayyangar, Esquire, B.A.,B.L.,
President, District and Municipal Boards,
S h i m o g a.

To

V.R.Thyagaraja Iyer, Esquire., M.A.,
Revenue Secretary, on special duty,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to the proposed constitution of the Non-Regulation Municipalities and certain Unions in the District into Municipalities under the Municipal Regulation I have the honor to state that proclamations declaring the

Non-Regulation Municipalities.

1. Kumsi
2. Chennagiri
3. Honnali.
4. Nyamti.
5. Sorab.
6. Sagar
7. Kallurhatte
8. Thirthahalli.

Unions.

1. Bankipur
2. Shiralkoppa

marginally noted towns as permanent municipalities under section 8 (1) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation VII of 1906 were published in three successive issues of the Mysore Gazette for June and Jul 1917

The inhabitants of Diggenahalli, Muddenahalli and Chikanuli'ere in Chennagiri Taluk have taken objection to the inclusion of the above villages in the Municipal limits of Chennagiri for reasons detailed in the accompanying petitions which are herewith submitted for orders under section 8 (2) of the Mysore Municipal Regulation.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M.A. Narayan Ayyangar
President, District Board.

ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ 3

ಇಸ್ತಾರ್

ಸನ್ ಸಾವಿರದ ಒಂಭೈಯನೂರು ಆರನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ ನಂಬರು VIIನೇ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ ೭ನೇ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ತಪಶೀಲು ಕಾಣುವ ಜಮೀನಿಂದ ಒಳಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಜಮೀನು ಭಾಗವನ್ನು ಒಂದು ಶಾಯಂ ಮುನಿಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಇರುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಿಂದ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ತೆಗೆದು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವವರು ಈ ಡಿಕ್ಲರೇಷನ್ ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ಸರ್ಟ್ ಆದ ಎರಡು ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮೀಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಲ್ಲಿ ತಮ್ಮ ತಮ್ಮ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇಂತ ಕಾರಣ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ತಗಾ ಸಹಿತ ಅಪ್ಲಿಕೇಷನ್ ಸುದರಾ ಇಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳ ಬಹುದೆಂತ 1917ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಜಾನ್ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ೨ ತ್ತಿ ಪಾರ್ಟ್ II ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇನ್ಸರ್ಟ್ ಆದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಮ್ಮ ಗ್ರಾಮವನ್ನು ಜನ್ಮಗಳ ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ದಿಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮುದ್ದಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಜನ್ಮ ಆಕೆರ ಗ್ರಾಮಸ್ಥರಿಂದ ಬಂದ ತಕರಾರು ಅರ್ಜಿಗಳು ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಿಂದ ಸದ್ರಿ ಗ್ರಾಮಗಳನ್ನು ಜನ್ಮಗಳ ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಸರಹದ್ದಿನಿಂದ ವಜಾ ಮಾಡಿ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಾಗಿ 1906ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿ ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ 4ನೇ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಈ ಕೆಳಗೆ ನಿವೇದಿಸಿರುವ ಮೇರೆ ಜಮೀನು ಒಂದು ಮುಖ್ಯ ಜಹಗೀರಿ ಶಾಯಂ ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಾಲಿಟಿಯಾಗಿ ಡಿಕ್ಲೇರ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ —

G R S V1—G/32]

SUBJECT Constitution of Cheemagiri into a panchayat under the Mil Regn

Diary No of letter replied to D19528

(4)

Section

File No

Serial No

Gen 3

No 1456 dtd 86-17-20 95-9-17.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

, the 191

Date of issue 5-9-17.

Signed by James J Kennedy

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Shimoga Dist

Sir,

In reply to your letter no H.C 37 (LB) 17 1868 the 29th August 1917, I am to observe that from your letter it is not clear if the marginally noted three villages form part of the non-regulation Municipality of Cheemagiri. Since the

over

Diggenahalli
Muddenahalli
Chikkamukkere

117

Villagers object to their 5
inclusion in the Municipality
it is presumed that they
do not form part of the said
Municipality, in which case
if so, I
am to request you to be
so good as to report
after holding an enquiry
at the place whether the
petitioners' objections to the
inclusion, ie their inability
to pay the taxes & the distance
of the villages from the main
town, are well founded and
in which case whether you
would suggest their omission
of the villages in question
from the limits of the proposed
Municipality.

2. The 3 petitions enquired
are herewith returned

I have, etc

for Secy to Govt
Revenue Dept

NK
30-8-1917

*List of enclosures.*

Ed/
3-9

*Copied by*

*Examined by* 3/9/17

W. Dis. 60,000 - 25-11-16

No. MC 37(L2) 17-18

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga, the 20th November 1917.

23 NOV 1917

REV. SECRETARIAT
FORM IV
L. FUND
24 NOV 1917
D 1264

Jul 86-17-35

From
M. A. Narayana Iyengar, Esquire., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District,
S h i m o g a.

To,
B. Ramaswamiya, Esquire., B.A , BLL ,
Offg. Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 1456 Ml 86-17-20 dated the 5th September 1917 asking me to report, whether the objections by the inhabitants, to the inclusion of the marginally noted three Villages in the Channagiri Taluk, in the proposed limits of the Channagiri Town Municipality are well founded, I have the honor to state that the Sub Division Officer, Shimoga, who inspected the Villages in question, and held a local enquiry on the 31st ultimo and 1st Instant, reports that the Villages are all over a mile from Channagiri and consist mostly of thatched houses many of which are vacant and that there are no traders, the inhabitants being mostly poor agriculturasts. He is of opinion that the inclusion of the Villages in Channagiri Municipality does not bring in any additional income to the Municipality and it will not be possible to give them any municipal benefit, such as lighting, scavenging, Etc, without additional burden to the Municipality which is already poor.

1. Diggenahalli.
2. Muddenahalli.
3. Chikkahulikere.

I would therefore suggest the omission of the Villages in question from the proposed limits of the Channagiri Municipality.

The three petitions received with his letter under advertance are herewith resubmitted for reference

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
M. A. Narayana Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

GPB—100,000—29-7 14

1917-18.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District,

S h i m o g a.

To

The Offg: Secretary to Govt.

Revenue Department,

B a n g a l o r e.

Dated 20th November 1917.

Despatched

Received

General No

No

Enclosure No

Suggests the omission of Diggenahalli, Muddenahalli and Chikkahulikere in Channagiri Taluk from the proposed limits of Channagiri Municipality.

&&&&&&&&

G R S V1—G/32]

SUBJECT Exclusion of 3 Villages from the proposed limits of the Chamrajpet Municipality.

Diary No of letter replied to 1264

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
Mcmb
, the 191

Date of issue 13/12/17

Signed by [illegible]

Section Mcmb
File No 86 17
Serial No

No 3164 dt 11.12.17.
Gen 86-17-41

To The Dy Comr Shimoga Dist-

Sir,

In reply to correspondence ending with your letter No ltc 37 Ka, dt 17 18 the 20th November 17, I am directed to state that the marginally noted three villages may be excluded from the proposed limits of the Chamrajpet Muncipality as requested by the petitioners and that the petitioners may be informed of the decision

over

1 Doggenahalli
2 Muddenahalli
3 Chikkahulikere

the decision of Govt.

2 The three petitions in question are herewith returned.

3 I am further to request you to be good as to submit to Govt of Canada for a draft Notification revising the boundary of the Chamargir Town excluding the 3 villages in question.

Yours etc.

HK
14-12-07

Dy Secy to Govt

mc
7/12

*List of enclosures*

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

W D66—GPB—60 000—15 11 16

13536

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District,
Dated Shimoga, the 5th April 1918.

No. L.C.37(L B.)17-18.

From.

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esquire, B A, B L.,
Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District,
S h i m o g a.

To.

B Ramaswamiya, Esquire., B A., B.L.,
Offg. Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.3164 M L.86-17-41 dated 11th December 1917 approving the exclusion of the Villages of Dyavenahalli, Muddenahalli and Chikkkulikere from the limits of the Channagiri Municipality, I have the honor to submit herewith the revised boundary notification in English and Kannada, excluding the three villages, as called for in para 3 of letter under advertance.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,

M.A. Narayana Iyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

Encl.

GPB--100,000--29-7 14

1947-18.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Shimoga District.

To

The Offg: Secretary to Government,

Revenue Department, Bangalore.

Dated 5th April 1918.

Despatched

Received

General No H.C.37(L.B )17-18.
No.

Enclosure No Two.

---

Submits the revised boundary notification in English and Kannada, relating to Channagiri Municipality.

xxxxxxxxxx

5

G R S VI—G/32] 16/5

10 ~~11~~ B

SUBJECT. Boundary notification of Channagiri Municipality, Shimoga District.

*Diary No of letter replied to* 2526

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT
( IV )
, *the* 191

*Date of issue.*

*Signed by* W.R.B.T.K

Section Rural
File No 86-17
Serial No

Notification
No 26
ml86-17-88

9/17/18

Whereas by proclamation published on 28th June 1917 and January 1918 respectively at page 1087 in English and at page 70 in Kannada of the Mysore Gazette, Part II, for 1917 and 1918 and posted up in conspicuous places in the town of Channagiri, Shimoga District, it was duly announced under Section 8 of the Municipal Regulation, VII of 1906, that it was proposed under Section 7 of the said

over

WM-C
16/5.

Regulation to constitute the town of Chamnagiri, the local area comprised within the limits specified in that proclamation, into a permanent Municipality and whereas, objections having been received from the villagers of Diggenahalli, Muddenahalli and Chikkulikere against the inclusion of these villages within the said Municipal limits of Chamnagiri, it has been decided that the above said villages should be excluded from the said area, the Government of His Highness the Maharaja are pleased in exercise of the powers conferred on them by Section 4(1) of the said Regulation, to constitute the said town of Chamnagiri into a permanent Municipality and to declare that the boundary of the said Municipality shall on and from the 1st July 1918 be shown as hereunder.

*List of enclosure*

1 7 18

*Copied by*

*Examined by*

WD 86—GPB—60 000—15 11 16

~~Draft Notice~~

# NOTIFICATION

Whereas by proclamation ~~dated the 10th~~ published June 1917 published in English ~~at pages 1967, 1140 and 1995~~ of part II of the Mysore Gazette for June and July 1917, it was duly announced under section 8 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation, VII of 1906, that it was proposed to constitute the Channagiri Town comprised within the limits specified in that proclamation into a permanent municipality, and whereas, objection having been received from the Villagers of Diggenahalli, Muddenahalli and Chikkahullikere against the inclusion of the above Villages in the proposed municipal limits of Channagiri, the same was heard and decided to exclude the above said Villages from the municipal area; it is now hereby declared that under section 4(1) of the said regulation, the Town of Channagiri, comprising the area within the boundaries described below will here-after be a permanent Municipality.

## BOUNDARY

The boundary line commences at the western bank of the Haridravati stream from the bridge in the Chitaldurg-Benkipur Road and runs southwards passing along the eastern and southern boundary of survey No.79 enclosing also survey Nos.71, 99, 100 and 101 and touches the boundary between Channagiri and Diggenahalli at the southern corner of survey No.101.

2. The line then proceeds west-ward along the boundary between Diggenahalli and Channagiri up to the cart-track from Diggenahalli to Channagiri and then turns north-wards along the cart track across survey No 102 and proceeds along the eastern boundary line of survey Nos.104 and 105 It turns west-ward from the cart track along the southern boundary of survey No.99 and turns northwards along the western boundary of survey No.98 and proceeds along the northern boundary of survey No 105 turning northward along the eastern boundary of survey No.115 and runs

to the

eastward along the southern boundary lines of survey Nos.116 and 117. It then proceeds north along eastern and northern boundary lines of survey No.128 enclosing survey Nos.126, 134, 135, and 136 and meets Puttabasappa's tank on its southern side and passes along the western side of the tank and joins the Channagiri-Malebennur Road, crossing the Chitaldurg-Benkipur Road at their junction.

3. From this point the line runs eastwards along Benkipur-Chitaldurg Road and at the eastern end of Puttabasappa's tank turns northwards and along the boundary of Channagiri and Chickulikere and eastwards along Channagiri and Muddenahalli Boundary till it reaches the north eastern corner of survey No.17.

4. The line then turns southwards along the eastern boundary of survey No.17 and eastwards along the northern boundary of ~~s~~ survey No.17 and northern boundary of survey Nos.18, 37, and 25 and touches the western bank of Haridravati stream at the northeastern corner of survey No.25. Further the line proceeds southward, along the bank of Haridravati stream and meets the starting point after crossing the Chitaldurg-Benkipur road near the bridge.

Shimoga.
Dated 5th April 1918.

Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District.

By order,

Offg. Secretary to Govt.,
Revenue Dept.

13/4/18